

ANIMAL CREMATORY



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCO	OVERY (CI)		
	RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT	'NO:		
AIRS ID#: 0111026 DA	ATE: <u>01/15/2008</u>	ARRIVE: <u>1015</u>	DEPART: 4.45		
FACILITY NAME: HU	UMANE SOCIETY OF BROWA	ARD COUNTY			
FACILITY LOCATION	N: 2070 GRIFFIN RD				
	FORT LAUDERDALE	33312			
OWNER/AUTHORIZE	ED REPRESENTATIVE: CH	RISTOPHER AGOSTINO	PHONE: (954)989-39	977	
CONTACT NAME: E	Bob Ansel	PHO	ONE:		
ENTITLEMENT PERI	IOD: 12/5/2003 / 12/5/2008 (effective date) (end date)	8			
PART I: INSPECTION IN COMPLIAN	N COMPLIANCE STATUS (c	_	CANT Non-COMPLIANCE	2	
PART II. TESTING/RI	ECORDKEEPING DEOUIDE	MENTS - Dula 62-206 401	1 FAC		
PART II: TESTING/RI (check ☑ appropria	ECORDKEEPING REQUIRE atte box(es))	<u>MENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.401	1, F.A.C.		
 (check ☑ appropria Were there any of Was a visible emit 	ate box(es)) bjectionable odor(s) detected? issions test conducted during this	s site visit according to EPA	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter	☐ Yes	_
 (check ☑ appropria Were there any ole Was a visible emine 62-297, F.A.C.)? In order to demore days prior to the appropria 	bjectionable odor(s) detected? issions test conducted during this nstrate individual source complia AGP Notification form submission	s site visit according to EPA nce, was an annual visible e on, and within 60 days prior	Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter emissions test conducted 60 r to each anniversary date? (I	Yes	⊠ No
 (check ☑ appropria Were there any of Was a visible emice 62-297, F.A.C.)? In order to demore days prior to the 62-296.401(6)(j), In order to demore demore to demore the following prior to the following prior to demore the following prior to	bjectionable odor(s) detected? issions test conducted during this instrate individual source complia AGP Notification form submission, F.A.C.) instrate individual source complia	s site visit according to EPA nce, was an annual visible eon, and within 60 days prior	emissions test conducted 60 r to each anniversary date? (I	— □Yes Rule □Yes	_
 (check ☑ appropria Were there any of Was a visible emit 62-297, F.A.C.)? In order to demort days prior to the 62-296.401(6)(j), In order to demort completed within a) Carbon Monot volume, dry basis 	bjectionable odor(s) detected? issions test conducted during this astrate individual source complia AGP Notification form submission, F.A.C.) strate individual source complia of days prior to the AGP Notification form submission form for the form	s site visit according to EPA	emissions test conducted 60 r to each anniversary date? (I	— □Yes Rule □Yes □Yes	⊠ No
 (check ☑ appropria Were there any of Was a visible emit 62-297, F.A.C.)? In order to demort days prior to the 62-296.401(6)(j), In order to demort completed within a) Carbon Monot volume, dry basis 10 (Ref.: Chapter b) Oxygen test p 	bjectionable odor(s) detected? issions test conducted during this instrate individual source complia AGP Notification form submission, F.A.C.) instrate individual source complia of days prior to the AGP Notification (CO) emissions equal to or	s site visit according to EPA conce, was an annual visible e on, and within 60 days prior conce were the remaining app fication form submission? (Find the properties of 1) below the requirements of 1 below the requirements of 1 below the requirements of 1 below the requirements of 1 below the requirements of 1	emissions test conducted 60 r to each anniversary date? (I conducted 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) (100 parts per million by according to EPA Method (7, F.A.C.)?	— □Yes Rule □Yes	No No □
 (check ☑ appropria Were there any of Was a visible emice 62-297, F.A.C.)? In order to demore days prior to the effective for the fection of the fect	bjectionable odor(s) detected? issions test conducted during this instrate individual source complia AGP Notification form submission, F.A.C.) instrate individual source complia in 60 days prior to the AGP Notification for squal to or instrate (CO) emissions equal to or instrate individual source complia in 60 days prior to the AGP Notification for one of the square of the sq	s site visit according to EPA nnce, was an annual visible e on, and within 60 days prior nnce were the remaining app fication form submission? (I below the requirements of 1 ly average basis and tested a hod 3 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297 qual to or below the require to 7% O ₂ and tested accordi	emissions test conducted 60 r to each anniversary date? (I	—	NoNoNoNoNo
(check ☑ appropria 1. Were there any of 2. Was a visible emical of 62-297, F.A.C.)? 3. In order to demord days prior to the 62-296.401(6)(j), 4. In order to demord completed within a) Carbon Monovolume, dry basis 10 (Ref.: Chapter b) Oxygen test por Particulate madry standard cubic (Ref.: Chapter 62-5. Was all emissions capacity?6. Was CO & PM constructions 1. Was a visible emical properties of the construction of the constru	bjectionable odor(s) detected? issions test conducted during this instrate individual source complia AGP Notification form submission, F.A.C.) instrate individual source complia in 60 days prior to the AGP Notification for squal to or instrate individual source complia in 60 days prior to the AGP Notification (CO) emissions equal to or instrate individual source complia in 60 days prior to the AGP Notification (CO) emissions equal to or instrate individual source complia in 60 days prior to the AGP Notification (CO) emissions equal to or instrate individual source compliant in 60 days prior to the AGP Notification (CO) emissions equal to or instrate individual source compliant in 60 days prior to the AGP Notification (CO) emissions equal to or instrate individual source compliant in 60 days prior to the AGP Notification (CO) emissions equal to or instrate individual source compliant in 60 days prior to the AGP Notification (CO) emissions equal to or instrate individual source compliant in 60 days prior to the AGP Notification (CO) emissions equal to or instrate individual source compliant in 60 days prior to the AGP Notification (CO) emissions equal to or instrate individual source compliant in 60 days prior to the AGP Notification (CO) emissions equal to or instrate individual source compliant in 60 days prior to the AGP Notification (CO) emissions equal to or instrate individual source compliant in 60 days prior to the AGP Notification (CO) emissions equal to or instrate individual source compliant in 60 days prior to the AGP Notification (CO) emissions equal to or instrate individual source compliant in 60 days prior to the AGP Notification (CO) emissions equal to or instrate individual source compliant in 60 days prior to the AGP Notification (CO) emissions equal to or instrate individual source compliant in 60 days prior to the AGP Notification (CO) emissions equal to or instrate individual source compliant in 60 days prior to the AGP Notification (CO) emissions equal to or in 60 days prior	s site visit according to EPA Ince, was an annual visible e on, and within 60 days prior Ince were the remaining app fication form submission? (I below the requirements of 1 ly average basis and tested a Ince were the remaining app fication form submission? (I below the requirements of 1 ly average basis and tested a Ince operating at the manuface Ince operating at the manuface Ince operation of a test report for an	emissions test conducted 60 r to each anniversary date? (Included the standards testing Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C.) (100 parts per million by according to EPA Method 100 parts of 0.080 grains per might be EPA Method 5 recommended 100 parts re	— Yes Rule ⊠Yes Syes Syes Syes Syes Syes Syes Syes	NoNoNoNoNoNoNo

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))		
(check 🗹 appropriate box(es))		
1. Is there Continuous Emissions Monitoring System (CEMS) equipment installed on each unit to record t	emperatu	res in the
primary and secondary chambers where there is a 1.0 second gas residence time in the secondary chamber co		
accordance with the manufacturer's instructions?	⊠Yes	☐ No
a) Do temperature probes seem to be properly placed?	Yes	☐ No
b) Are the following records kept on file, available for inspection for at least two years following the rec		f such
measurements, maintenance, reports and records?	Ü	
1) All measurements (including CEMS)	⊠Yes	□ No
2) Monitoring device	Yes	No
3) Performance Testing Measurements		☐ No
4) CEMS Performance Evaluation		☐ No
5) All CEMS or monitoring device calibration checks	Yes	☐ No
6) Adjustments	Yes	☐ No
7) Preventive maintenance performed on systems/devices	Yes	☐ No
8) Corrective maintenance performed on systems/devices	Yes	No
2. Was this crematory unit constructed: (check only one ☑ box)	_	
a) BEFORE August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, continue on to #3 and skip #4)		
b) ON or AFTER August 30, 1989? (If this box checked, skip #3 and continue on to #4)		
3. If constructed BEFORE August 30, 1989 is the:		
a) secondary chamber combustion zone providing at least a 1.0 second gas residence time @ 1600°F ?	Yes	☐ No
b) actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1400°F		
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?	Yes	☐ No
c) cremation in the primary chamber begun after the secondary chamber combustion zone temperature		
is equal to or greater than 1400°F ?	Yes	☐ No
d) required monitoring equipment installed and operational, and providing continuous monitoring to		
record the temperature at the point or beyond where 1.0 second gas residence time is obtained in the		
secondary chamber combustion zone according to the manufacturer's instructions?	Yes	☐ No
4. If constructed ON or AFTER August 30, 1989 is the:		
a) volume in the secondary combustion zone sufficient to provide at least a 1.0 second gas residence time		
@ 1800° F?	\boxtimes Yes	☐ No
b) the actual operating temperature of the secondary chamber combustion zone no less than 1600°F		_
throughout the combustion process in the primary chamber?		☐ No
c) secondary chamber combustion zone temperature equal to or greater than 1600°F before the cremation		_
process begins in the primary chamber?	⊠Yes	∐ No
5. Are appropriate leak-proof containers containing no more than 0.5 % (percent) by weight chlorinated	— -	
plastics used during the cremation of dead animals?	⊠Yes	∐ No
a) If the answer to question 4 above is YES, is certifying documentation from the manufacturer that they	•	
are composed of 0.5% or less by weight chlorinated plastics kept on file at the site for the duration of	— -	
their use and for at least two years after their use?	⊠Yes	∐ No
b) If plastic bags are used for the cremation of animals are they non-chlorinated and no less than 3 mils		
thick?	⊠Yes	∐ No
c) Are dead animals, which have been used for medical or commercial experimentation, or other		
materials, including biomedical wastes (Rule 62-210.200, F.A.C.), incinerated at this location?	□Yes	∐ No
6. During this review period, was the largest batch load cremated 500 pounds per hour or less?	∑Yes	∐ No
7. Have all crematory operators been trained and certified by a Department-approved training program?	⊠Yes	∐ No
a) Are copies of the training certificates all crematory operators kept on file at the facility for the duration		
of the operator's employment & for an additional two years after termination of employment?	⊠Yes	☐ No

PART IV: <u>SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDUI</u> A. <u>New or Modified Process Equipment</u>	RES – Rule 62-296.401, F.A.C.		
Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment? b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most recent notification form? d) If you answered YES to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete		□Yes □Yes □Yes	⊠No ⊠No ⊠No
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 6 local program office?	□Yes	□No	
 If a crematory unit has been modified to the extent that a Department air construction permit was required, have all operators been retrained to operate the modified unit? In the case of new or modified equipment, where a Department air construction permit was required, has the owner submitted copies of all operator training certificates?			□No
			□No □No
Courtney Pitters	01/15/2008		
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection		
	01/15/2009		
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspe	ction	
COMMENTS:			